

**Maryland Horse Council Opinion Survey**  
**On the Future of Maryland Thoroughbred Racing**

*Results based on 314 mail-in responses.*

1. Should the Office of the Governor seek negotiations with MID on behalf of the citizens of the State of Maryland to secure the following commitments:

- maintaining or improving racing facilities at Laurel and Pimlico
- keeping the Preakness in Maryland
- keeping a minimum number of racing days per year?

Circle one:      YES (95%)      NO (3%)      No opinion (2%)

2. If the owner of the Maryland Jockey Club or any of its tracks decides that it cannot make a profit running races and chooses to develop the real estate for other purposes, should the State of Maryland intervene pursuant to Title 11-521 of the Business Regulation article of the state code and auction the real estate to a qualified operator who will commit to running horse races?

Circle one:      YES (92%)      NO (6%)      No opinion (2%)

3. Should MID be asked by the Maryland Horse Council and the Office of the Governor to support zoning for slots in Anne Arundel County?

Circle one:      YES (77%)      NO (14%)      No opinion (9%)

4. Should the Maryland Horse Council support or oppose zoning for slots in Anne Arundel County throughout the area that voters approved in the 2008 statewide referendum (including Arundel Mills).

Circle one:      Support (63%)      Oppose (25%)      No opinion (12%)

5. If MID loses in its effort to block slots at Arundel Mills and decides to divest of Maryland Jockey Club assets, should the state of Maryland intervene to ensure that the buyer is committed to running horse races?

Circle one:      YES (93%)      NO (5%)      No opinion (2%)

6. Would you be interested in joining the Maryland Horse Council efforts to Save Maryland Racing?

Circle one:      YES (52%)      NO (26%)      No opinion (22%)

If yes, please provide:

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Business Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Email \_\_\_\_\_

Phone \_\_\_\_\_